1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

ROGER TATUM,

Petitioner,

v.

STEVE MANSFIELD,

Respondent.

Case No. C07-5168RBL

ORDER REFUSING TO SERVE PETITION AND DIRECTING PETITIONER TO SHOW CAUSE

The Court, having reviewed the § 2254 petition filed in this case, does hereby find and ORDER:

(1) The Court will <u>not</u> order a response to the petition because the petition does not indicate that petitioner's grounds for federal relief have been properly exhausted in state court. Specifically, the petition does <u>not</u> show that his claims for federal relief have been presented to the Washington State Supreme Court.

The exhaustion of state court remedies is a prerequisite to the granting of a petition for writ of habeas corpus. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(1). If exhaustion is to be waived, it must be waived explicitly by respondent. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(3). A waiver of exhaustion, thus, may not be implied or inferred. A petition can satisfy the exhaustion requirement by providing the highest state court with a full and fair opportunity to consider all claims before presenting them to the federal court. Picard v. Connor, 404 U.S. 270, 276 (1971); Middleton v. Cupp, 768 F.2d 1083, 1086 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 478 U.S. 1021 (1986). Full and fair presentation of claims to the state court requires "full factual development" of the claims in that forum. Kenney v. Tamayo-Reyes, 504 U.S. 1, 8 (1992).

- Petitioner is directed to show cause by April 30, 2007, why the petition should not be (2) dismissed for lack of exhaustion.
  - (3) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order and the General Order to petitioner. DATED this 9th day of April, 2007.

/s/ J. Kelley Arnold
J. Kelley Arnold United States Magistrate